

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ,	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/700,890	09/25/2001	Douglas J Bueley	3876-00183	3763	
23598 7	23598 7590 10/10/2006			EXAMINER	
	DRICKSON NEWHO	FISHER, MICHAEL J			
250 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 1030 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3629	,	

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A I' I' Al				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/700,890	BUELEY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
<u>-</u>		Michael J. Fisher	3629			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
WHII - Extended after af	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 10 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mail ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire  of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□						
3)□						
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4) 又	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the applicatio	on.				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	ut(s)					
1) 🔀 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) U Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
Pape	) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-8, 11-13,17-20,22-25 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by 6,238,027 to Kohler et al. (Kohler).

As to claims 1,17,23,24,25, Kohler discloses a cabinet with storage area interior (fig 1) with door mounting arrangement with a door (20 as best seen in fig 1), a hinge having pivotable first (21.2, fig 2) and second (21.1) sections, a mounting member (10.1) to which the second hinge section is secured and interacts with at least a pair of spaced opening for fixing the hinge relative to the structural member (screw holes with screws, as best seen in figs 2 and 5).

Application/Control Number: 09/700,890

Art Unit: 3629

As to claim 2, Kohler discloses the openings are formed on an inner wall facing (fig 5).

As to claims 3,25, the mounting member has an engagement structure that interacts with the spaced openings (screw holes).

As to claims 4,20, the releasable, locking members are the screws.

As to claim 5, the screws are selectively engaged within one of the openings, i.e. screwed in.

As to claim 6, the screws are engaged within the openings by the portion that engages the screws.

As to claim 7, the locking position would be when the screws are tightened and when the screws are unscrewed would be when they allow disengagement.

As to claim 8, the locking member would be "biased" toward its locking position by friction when tightened.

As to claim 11, there are substantially parallel inner and outer walls (as best seen in fig 5).

As to claims 12,18, there is an intermediate wall between the two parallel walls (as best seen in fig 5).

As to claims 13,22, the door is mounted by when the hinges are engaged (fig 2).

As to claim 18, the spaced engagement members extend from the inner toward the outer wall (as they are three dimensional, they would extend in any direction).

As to claim 31, the doors are mounted to each vertical, structural member (fig 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/700,890

Art Unit: 3629

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 9,10,21, 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kohler as applied to claims 1-8, 11-13,17-20,22-25 and 31 above, and further in view of US PAT 1,368,604 to Carmody.

Kohler discloses a method as discussed above.

As to claims 9 and 26, Kohler does not, however, teach a spring biasing member that biases the engagement members. Carmody teaches a spring biasing member (lock washer with spring pawls as best seen in fig 2) that biases an engagement member toward locking (as is inherent in this type of lock washer). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the lock washers as taught by Carmody in the method as disclosed by Kohler to ensure that the screws stay tightened as lock washers are very old and well known in the art.

As to claim 10, the washer would be between the engagement member and the locking member.

As to claims 21 and 27, it is still possible to unscrew a screw with such a washer, thereby meeting the limitations as claimed.

Claims 14-16 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kohler as applied to claims 1-8, 11-13,17-20,22-25 and 31 above.

As to claims 14-16 and 28, latches on doors are very well known in the art to keep the door closed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a latch on the door that latches the door shut to keep the door from opening accidentally.

As to claim 29 and 30, it is very well known in the art to have shelf support brackets for shelves in cabinets. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have shelves with brackets supporting them to allow the cabinet to store material on more than just the floor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Fisher whose telephone number is 571-272-6804. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30am-5:00pm alt Fri. off.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael Fisher

Patent Examiner GAU 3629

MF//-9/29/06